

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/597,249	Applicant(s) ALRADADY ET AL.	
	Examiner Tiffany A. Fetzner	Art Unit 2831	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Amended

(1) Tiffany A. Fetzner.

(3) _____.

(2) James Stvhenson reg. No. 38,755.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 March 2010

Time: 4:30 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:

1-80 with respect to the restriction requirement, with applicant making a provisional election to prosecute the MR birdcage coil of neurovascular array claims of claims 1-71, removing interface claims 72-78, & housing claims 79-80; without traversese.

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Ex. Contact applicants representative to request a provisional election of a restriction requirement, of applicant's 80 pending claims. Applicant elected group 1 claims 1-71; with the understanding that claims 72-80 would be withdrawn by the examiner. The examiner was thanked for hrt time and assistance.,.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/T. A. F./

Examiner, Art Unit 2831
571-272-2241

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)